



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,126	03/30/2001	Blaise B. Fanning	42390P10571	6833	
8791	7590 02/10/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			PORTKA, GARY J		
	ES, CA 90025	LIVIII LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-		2188	16	
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	—— O			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/823,126	FANNING ET AL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary J Portka	2188				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount if the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceNOTE: .	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_			
To.[_] Outon		Saur Val Gary J Portka	etka			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the position that a gating circuit (passing a signal, i.e., "terminate", depending upon a state of a control signal, i.e., "prefetch address is in queue" or "match") is required for Lopez-Aguado to terminate prefetching based upon whether a new requested prefetch address is already in the queue. The prefetch queue stores prefetch addresses; whether they are "derived" is immaterial to the claim language. Matching a prefetch address in the queue reads on matching at least P since P is a non-zero integer and thus may be one.